

**Contents:**

- 1 Interrelationships of Traits Measured on Fine-wool Rams During a Central Performance Test  
*C.J. Lupton, D.F. Waldron, and F.A. Pfeiffer*
- 8 Effects of Supplementing Polyethylene Glycol to Goat Kids Grazing *Sericea Lespedeza* and Early Post-weaning Nutritive Plane Upon Subsequent Growth  
*Roger C. Merkel, Arthur L. Goetsch, and Nissim Silanikove*
- 14 Use of DNA Markers to Determine Paternity in a Multiple-Sire Mating Flock  
*A.M. Laughlin, D.F. Waldron, B.F. Craddock, G.R. Engdahl, R.K. Dusek, J.E. Huston, C.J. Lupton, D.N. Ueckert, T.L. Shay, and N.E. Cockett*
- 18 Use of Lamb Vision System to Predict Carcass Value  
*A.S. Bradey, B.C.N. Cunha, K.E. Belk, S.B. LeValley, N.L. Dalsted, J.D. Tatum, and G.C. Smith*
- 25 Potential Associative Effects of Increasing Dietary Forage in Limit-fed Ewes Fed a 6% Fat Diet  
*O. Kucak, B.W. Hess, P.A. Ludden, and D.C. Rule*
- 34 Quebracho Tannin Influence on Nitrogen Balance in Small Ruminants and In-Vitro Parameters when Utilizing Alfalfa Forage  
*K.E. Turner and J.P.S. Neel*
- 44 An Investigation into the Risk Factors Associated with Clinical Mastitis in Colorado Sheep  
*K.N. Forde, B.J. McCluskey, and K.S. Morgan*
- 47 Weight Changes in Fall and Spring Lambing Ewes Grazing Fallow Wheat Fields During the Summer  
*W.A. Phillips, F.T. McCollum, J. Volesky, and H.S. Mayeux*
- 55 Effect of Ethanol Supplementation on In Vitro Digestion and VFA Production and Growth Performance of Newly Weaned Lambs  
*J. Gould, E.J. Scholljegerdes, P.A. Ludden, D.C. Rule, and B.W. Hess*
- 61 Growth and Reproductive Performance of Ewe Lambs Implanted with Zeranol after Weaning, but before Sexual Maturation  
*B.M. Alexander, B.W. Hess, R.V. Lewis, R.H. Stobart, and G.E. Moss*
- 65 Consumer Evaluation of Pre-Cooked Lamb  
*John A. Fox, Londa S. Vander Wal, Prayong Udomvarapant, Donald H. Kropf, Elizabeth A.E. Boyle, and Curtis L. Kastner*
- 69 Caprine Arthritis-Encephalitis: An Update  
*A. de la Concha-Bermejillo*
- 79 An Evaluation of Different Energy Supplements for Lambs Consuming Endophyte-free Tall Fescue  
*B.W. Hess, J.E. Williams, and E.J. Scholljegerdes*
- 83 Effects of the *FecB* Gene in Half-sib Families of Rambouillet-cross Ewes  
*K.S. Schulze, D.F. Waldron, T.D. Willingham, D.R. Shelby, G.R. Engdahl, E. Gootwine, S. Yoshefi, G.W. Montgomery, M.L. Tate, and E.A. Lord*
- 89 The Effects of Energy Source and Ionophore Supplementation on Lamb Growth, Carcass Characteristics and Tenderness  
*M A Murphy, H N Zerby, and F L Fluharty*
- 96 Effects of Supplementing Ewes with a d- $\alpha$ -Tocopherol on Serum and Colostrum Immunoglobulin G Titers and Preweaning Lamb Performance  
*C.L. Schultz, T.T. Ross, and M.W. Salisbury*
- 101 Comparing Indicators of Sheep Grazing Leafy Spurge and Perennial Grasses  
*Bret E. Olson, and Roseann T. Wallander*
- 109 *Research Note:* Monesin Poisoning in a Sheep Flock  
*O. Mendes, F. Mohamed, T. Gull, and A. de la Concha-Bermejillo*
- 114 *Case Report:* Repeated Injections of Pregnant Mare Serum Gonadotrophin (PMSG) Failed to Induce Antibody Production in Fall Lambing Ewes  
*M.A. Diekman, M.K. Neary, and G.R. Kelly*

# The Effects of Energy Source and Ionophore Supplementation on Lamb Growth, Carcass Characteristics and Tenderness

M A Murphy\*, H N Zerby\*†, F L Fluharty\*

## Summary

Commercial Hampshire x Dorset crossbred lambs (n = 96) were used in a 3 x 2 factorial experiment to determine the effects of energy source (high concentrate (HC), high forage (HF), a combination of concentrate and forage (MIX)); and ionophore supplementation (monensin; Rumensin [Elanco Animal Health, Greenfield, IN] fed at a rate of 176 mg per kg of feed) on lamb growth and carcass characteristics. The wethers (n = 48) were harvested and the effects of energy source and ionophore supplementation on carcass characteristics and palatability attributes were evaluated.

Energy source affected (P < .05) dry matter intake, average daily gain (ADG), feed efficiency (FE), and days on feed. Lambs fed the HF diet had the lowest (P < .05) ADG (204 g), the least (P < .05) desirable FE (0.139 g/f), and consequently the most (P < .05) days on feed (106 d). Carcasses from lambs fed the HF diet also had less (P < .05) bodywall thickness, less kidney and pelvic fat, a smaller ribeye area, and lighter liver weights. *Longissimus dorsi* samples from the lambs on the HC diet had significantly (P < .05) higher Warner-Bratzler shear force values than the lambs on the HF and MIX diets. The sensory panel found *longissimus dorsi* samples from lambs that received the MIX diet significantly (P < .05) more tender when compare to those from lambs that received the HC and HF diets.

Monensin decreased (P < .05) backfat by 1.22 mm (20%) and dressing percentage by 3.1%. Monensin had no adverse effects (P > .05) on sensory attributes. Therefore, feeding monensin to lambs fed various diets resulted in no adverse carcass characteristics and a slight decrease in back fat depth.

**Key words:** Ionophore, Lamb, Growth, Carcass, Tenderness

## Introduction

The meat industry is faced with the dual challenge of reducing fat content of meat carcasses without negatively affecting the palatability of the product. It is generally recognized that excess subcutaneous fat and seam fat results in carcass waste, while intramuscular fat aids in palatability. Researchers agree that tenderness and flavor are important in overall palatability; however, the degree of importance often varies (Batcher, 1969; Safari et al., 2001). Previous reports suggest that higher degrees of intramuscular fat or higher quality grades correspond to more desirable ratings for juiciness, tenderness and overall acceptability of fresh meat (Wheeler et al., 1994; Mbanzamihigo et al., 1995; Gwartney et al., 1996; Wheeler et al., 1999; Wood et al., 1999).

Smith and Crouse (1984) suggest that different fat depots are not regulated in a coordinated manner which could allow for altering one depot without affecting another. They showed that acetate provides 70 to 80% of the acetyl units to lipogenesis in subcutaneous fat, and conversely glucose, whose precursor is propionate, was shown to provide 50 to 75% of the acetyl units in the intramuscular depot. Thus, manipulating the concentration of acetate and propionate has potential in altering fat deposition in lambs and hence influence the value of the final product.

Ionophores have been proven to alter fatty acid concentrations in the rumen. Many researchers have investigated the ionophore monensin and have found that through its

basic mode of action, modifying the movement of ions across biological membranes, it increases propionate production in the rumen while decreasing acetate and butyrate percentages (Van Nevel and Demeyer, 1977; Chalupa et al., 1980; Huston et al., 1990; Surber and Bowman, 1998).

The objectives of this research were to evaluate the effects of monensin on growth performance of lambs during the finishing stages, carcass characteristics and palatability traits.

## Materials and Methods

Ninety-six commercial Hampshire x Dorset crossbred lambs, with an equal number of ewes and wethers, were used in a 3 x 2 factorial experiment to determine the effects of energy source and ionophore supplementation on growth. At the initiation of the study, lambs were sorted by sex and then randomly assigned to treatments within a block based on body weight. The three different energy sources used in the study are presented in table 1 and consisted of high-concentrate (HC), high-forage (HF), and a combination of concentrate and forage (MIX). Each diet was tested in the absence of ionophore and with the inclusion of an

\* Department of Animal Science, The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio, 43210

† 2029 Fyffe Rd., Columbus, OH 43210; Phone: 614 688 4584; Fax: 614 292 2929; zerby.8@osu.edu

ionophore supplementation (monensin; Rumensin [Elanco Animal Health, Greenfield, IN] fed at a rate of 176 mg per kg of feed) in accordance with the Investigational New Animal Drug (INAD 10794) from the Food and Drug Administration.

### **Growth Performance**

Initial body weight, taken as the average of two consecutive day's weight prior to feeding, was used to block the lambs, within each group, into a heavier and lighter weight group. There were four replicate pens per treatment with four lambs per pen. Following an initial 14-day adaptation period, lambs were fed ad libitum, with refused feed removed and the weight recorded on a daily basis prior to offering new feed. Lambs were weighed every 14 days prior to feeding to calculate average daily gain (ADG) and feed efficiency (FE). Once the average weight of the wether pen was approximately 60 kg the wether pen and the corresponding ewe lamb pen were taken off test. The wethers were weighed and transported to the Ohio State University Meat Science Laboratory in Columbus, OH where they were restricted from feed consumption but given free access to water for 12 hours prior to harvest. Only wethers were used in the remainder of the study to evaluate effects on carcass traits and sensory. The ewe lambs were weighed and returned to the flock.

### **Carcass Traits**

Prior to harvest, live weights were recorded for each lamb. After harvest, each animal's hot carcass, liver and heart weights were taken and recorded. After a 24 hour chill period, an USDA grader collected the following grade factors: lean color, flank color, flank firmness, flank streaking, overall conformation, leg conformation and quality grade. After carcasses were aged an additional six days (0° to 4° C) the carcasses were ribbed between the 12<sup>th</sup> and 13<sup>th</sup> rib to expose the *longissimus dorsi*. The following measurements were taken and recorded: marbling score, ribeye area, subcutaneous fat thickness, and body wall thickness. For each of these traits, data was collected from both the left and right sides and averaged.

### **Carcass Fabrication**

At seven days post-harvest, the kidneys and

associated kidney and pelvic fat were removed from each carcass and weighed. The *longissimus dorsi* and *semimembranosus* muscles were excised from the right side of each lamb carcass. The *longissimus dorsi* (from the 13th rib to the posterior end) and *semimembranosus* were cut into 2.5 cm thick chops. Chops from the *longissimus dorsi* were designated for lipid extraction, Warner-Bratzler shear force (WBS), and sensory panel evaluations from anterior to posterior end, respectively. Chops from the *semimembranosus* were designated for WBS.

### **Lipid Extraction**

All external fat, bone, and epimysium was removed from the most anterior chop of the *longissimus dorsi* and the sample was ground for approximately 30 seconds on low speed using a Waring Commercial Laboratory Blender (Waring Products Division, New Hartford, CT). Samples weighing 10 g were dried at 100° C for 18 h. After cooling, petroleum ether was used to extract lipid from the samples according to procedures outlined by Ockerman (1985).

### **Warner-Bratzler Shear Force**

The second and third most anterior chops of the *longissimus dorsi* were weighed and cooked on an impingement oven (Lincoln Impinger, Food Service Products Inc., Fort Wayne, IN) to an internal temperature of 66° C. After cooking, the chops were weighed and percent cook loss was determined. Following the procedures outlined by AMSA (1995), the chops were cooled to room temperature and three core samples, 1.27 cm in diameter, were taken parallel to the longitudinal orientation of the muscle fibers of each chop. The cores were subject to a Warner-Bratzler shear (WBS) machine (Salter, G-R Elec. Mfg. Co., Manhattan, KS) and WBS values were collected for each core sample. The mean value from six cores was reported as the final WBS for the *longissimus dorsi*.

The second most anterior steak of the *semimembranosus* was weighed and cooked on an impingement oven (Lincoln Impinger, Food Service Products Inc.) to an internal temperature of 66° C. Then cook loss and WBS was collected as previously described.

### **Sensory Panel**

The fourth and fifth most anterior chops of the *longissimus dorsi* were cooked on an impingement oven (Lincoln Impinger, Food Service Products Inc.) to an internal temperature of 66° C and served warm to an eight member trained sensory panel. The panelists evaluated samples using an eight point hedonic scale for tenderness (1- extremely tough, 8 - extremely tender) and juiciness (1- extremely dry, 8 - extremely juicy) (AMSA, 1995).

### **Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed using the MIXED procedures in SAS (SAS, 1999) for a randomized complete block, 3 x 2 factorial experiment. The model included sex and initial weight as block effects and energy source and ionophore supplementation as dependent variables. Growth performance data was analyzed using pen as the experimental unit and carcass traits and sensory evaluation data was analyzed using individual carcass as the experimental unit. The two-way interaction of energy source x ionophore supplementation was also included in initial models, however, the energy source x ionophore supplementation interaction was removed from subsequent analysis if it was not significant ( $P > .05$ ). Simple correlations were computed using the CORR procedures in SAS (SAS, 1999).

### **Results and Discussion**

Throughout all analyses, the interaction between energy source and ionophore supplementation was not significant ( $P > .05$ ). Therefore, it was removed from the model and only the main effects are presented and discussed.

### **Growth Performance**

Results for growth traits are presented in table 2. Lambs that received the HC and MIX diets had a higher ( $P < .05$ ) ADG than lambs that received the HF diet. This finding is in agreement with several previous studies that have reported animals offered a high-concentrate diet ad libitum generally have a greater ADG than animals fed or grazed legumes (Tatum et al., 1988; McClure et al., 1994; Murphy et al., 1994). Additionally, lambs that received the HC diet had a more desirable FE than the HF diet lambs, while the MIX diet lambs were intermediate ( $P < .05$ ). These results can be

explained, in part, by the findings of Fluharty et al. (1999), who reported that lambs consuming alfalfa had greater omasum, abomasum, small intestine, cecum, and large intestine weights than did lambs fed an all-concentrate diet. The metabolic activity of visceral organs is a function of both the rate of activity and the size of the organs. Ferrell and Jenkins (1985) previously reported that the proportion of protein synthesis is greater in the combination of the gastrointestinal tract (19 to 23%) and the liver, kidney, and pancreas (16 to 17%) than occurs in striated muscle (24 to 28%). Furthermore, Ferrell et al. (1986) reported that the maintenance energy requirements of visceral organs is positively related to the size of the organs and is related to the level of nutrition, which helps explain the findings of Fluharty et al. (1999) that grams of N retained per day were 248% greater with lambs fed an all-concentrate diet than with lambs fed alfalfa. Therefore, the findings of the present study are in agreement with previous research. Dry matter intake (DMI) was lowest ( $P < .05$ ) for the HC diet lambs; however, this was due to the increased ADG which also led to less days on feed for these lambs.

In this study, ionophore supplementation did not significantly ( $P > .40$ ) affect ADG or FE. The present findings are in disagreement with Huston et al. (1990), who observed an increase in weight gain for lambs fed monensin. The researchers observed an increase in weight gain for lambs supplemented with monensin at a rate of 33 mg/kg of feed which was a greater gain than that observed for lambs fed monensin at a rate of 66 mg/kg of feed. Huston et al. (1990) concluded that this difference was due to lower intake of the high monensin supplement. In the current study ionophore supplementation did not result in a decreased DMI even though the supplementation rate was at a greater level (176 mg/kg). The differing results between the two studies may partially be due to the difference in the age, physiological maturity, and thus growth pattern of the lambs when the trials were initiated, as well as the duration of the time on feed. The lambs used in the research conducted by Huston et al. (1990) were approximately 12 months of age and 40 kg at the start of the trial, which lasted for 36 days and overall had a lower

ADG. The lambs used in the current study were approximately 4 months of age and the duration of the trial was much longer. The results of this study are in concordance with the findings of Fluharty et al. (1999), who evaluated the supplementation of the ionophore lasalocid and reported no differences in ADG or FE due to ionophore supplementation (149g/kg). Although, it should be noted that Fluharty et al. (1999) reported a small, but significant increase in DMI for lambs that were supplemented with the ionophore lasalocid in a trial that was designed similarly to the current trial. However in their trial, the lambs that received the concentrate diet were limit fed.

### *Carcass Traits*

The results for carcass traits are presented in table 3. Energy source was a significant ( $P < .05$ ) main effect for body wall (BW), kidney and pelvic fat (KP), and ribeye area (REA). Carcasses from the HC and MIX diet lambs had higher ( $P < .05$ ) amounts of BW and KP than the HF diet lambs. Carcasses from the HC and MIX diet lambs also had a significantly larger REA than the HF diet lambs. Also, liver weights were significantly ( $P < .05$ ) higher for carcasses from HC diet lambs and MIX diet lambs compared with those from HF diet lambs.

These results are in agreement with research which showed that cattle (Smith et al., 1987) and lambs (Crouse et al., 1978) fed high-energy diets had a more rapid fat deposition and showed greater back fat thickness and kidney and pelvic fat than those fed a roughage diet.

The HF diet lambs had the highest ( $P < .05$ ) degree USDA flank streaking and the HC diet lambs had the lowest ( $P < .05$ ) degree. However, the differences observed in the USDA flank streaking were not present in either marbling scores or percent lipid. Also, no significant differences were found for official USDA quality grade, maturity, lean color, flank firmness, overall conformation or leg conformation.

Ionophore supplementation significantly ( $P < .05$ ) reduced carcass back fat depth and dressing percent and had no effect ( $P > .05$ ) on the other carcass traits. The decrease in dressing percent may partially be due to the decrease in back fat depth. Although the difference for back fat depth, which was the

equivalent of a 20% reduction, was statistically different for ionophore supplementation, the small absolute difference between the means (1.22 mm) is not likely to result in a difference in the merchandising value of these lamb carcasses. However, for a group of lambs with different genetics or are subjected to different management strategies which allow for back fat to be much greater at the time of marketing, a 20% reduction in back fat could impact the value of their carcasses.

The back fat differences observed due to the supplementation of monensin can be explained by the mode of action of monensin and the resulting change in ruminal VFA concentrations. Researchers have shown that monensin increases propionate production in the rumen while decreasing acetate and butyrate percentages (Van Nevel and Demeyer, 1977; Chalupa et al., 1980; Huston et al., 1990; Surber and Bowman, 1998). Smith et al. (1984) reported that backfat thickness and the activities of several enzymes involved in lipogenesis were greater in animals fed a high concentrate, corn based diet versus those fed a forage based, alfalfa pellet diet, even though the metabolizable energy intake was higher with the pelleted forage diet. Therefore, the end products of ruminal fermentation as well as net energy intake are interrelated in terms of adipocyte formation. Furthermore, Smith and Crouse (1984) fed either a corn silage (low energy) or ground corn (high energy) diet to young, growing steers from weaning, at 8 months of age, to a terminal age of 16 or 18 months of age, and reported that acetate provided 70 to 80% of the acetyl units for lipogenesis in subcutaneous adipose tissue, but only 10 to 25% of the acetyl units for lipogenesis in intramuscular adipose tissue. Conversely, glucose (from propionate) provided 1 to 10% of the acetyl units for lipogenesis in subcutaneous adipose tissue, but 50 to 75% of the acetyl units for lipogenesis in intramuscular adipose tissue. The authors concluded that different regulatory processes control fatty acid synthesis in intramuscular and subcutaneous adipose tissue. Therefore, the enzymes responsible for fatty acid synthesis, and the resulting lipogenesis and adipocyte hypertrophy, are regulated by the end products of ruminal fermentation, which are determined by diet. Therefore, the altered volatile fatty acid concentration in the rumen due to monensin was likely the cause for the decrease in back fat in the present study.

## Meat Quality

Warner-Bratzler shear force values and results of the sensory panel are presented in tables 4 and 5, respectively. Samples of the *longissimus dorsi* from lambs that received the MIX diet were found to be more tender ( $P < .05$ ) than samples from lambs that received the HC diet by the sensory panel. This was also detected by the WBS values, which were negatively correlated ( $R = -.69$ ;  $P < .0001$ ) to sensory tenderness (data not presented in tabular form). This finding is in agreement with a study conducted by Notter et al. (1991), which observed various management systems and meat characteristics. The researchers found lambs fed to slaughter on a concentrate diet had the highest shear force values when compared to two other systems that fed lambs all forage diets. Although not significantly different ( $P > .20$ ), there was a numerical decrease in WBS value for both the *longissimus dorsi* and *semimembranosus* due to monensin supplementation (table 4).

There was no correlation with the objective (percent lipid) or subjective (marbling) measurements for intramuscular fat and juiciness or tenderness for the *longissimus dorsi*. This could be due to the fact neither energy source nor ionophore supplementation produced significant differences in the amount of intramuscular fat which hindered the sensory panel to find differences in the samples due to amounts of intramuscular fat.

## Implications

Energy source had a significant impact ADG, FE and days on feed, with the HC diet being superior to the MIX and HF diet for both FE and days on feed. However, the HC diet also resulted in an increase in toughness. Monensin slightly improved or did not adversely affect carcass characteristics and had no adverse effects on sensory attributes. Monensin supplementation resulted in decreased back fat and dressing percent. The decrease in back fat might result in practical or economical advantage when feeding lambs beyond their ideal marketing endpoint, which would result in excess back fat under normal conditions. However, further research should be conducted to determine if these changes could be amplified with various levels of ionophore supplementation.

## Literature Cited

- AMSA. 1995. Research guidelines for cookery, sensory evaluation and instrumental tenderness measurements of fresh meat. American Meat Science Association, Chicago, IL.
- Batcher, O.M. 1969. Sensory evaluation of lamb and yearling mutton flavors. *J. Food Sci.* 34:272-274.
- Chalupa, W., W. Corbett, J.R. Brethour. 1980. Effects of monensin and amilorol on rumen fermentation. *J. Anim. Sci.* 51:170-179.
- Crouse, J.D., R.A. Field, J.L. Chant, Jr., C.L. Ferrell, G.M. Smith and V.L. Harrison. 1978. Effect of dietary energy intake on carcass composition and palatability of different weight carcasses from ewe and ram lambs. *J. Anim. Sci.* 47:1207-1218.
- Ferrell, C. L. and T. G. Jenkins. 1985. Cow type and the nutritional environment: nutritional aspects. *J. Anim. Sci.* 61:725-741.
- Ferrell, C. L., L. J. Koong, and J. A. Nienaber. 1986. Effect of previous nutrition on body composition and maintenance energy costs of growing lambs. *Br. J. Nutr.* 56:595-605.
- Fluharty, F.L., K.E. McClure, M.B. Solomon, D.D. Clevenger and G.D. Lowe. 1999. Energy source and ionophore supplementation effects on lamb growth, carcass characteristics, visceral organ mass, diet digestibility, and nitrogen metabolism. *J. Anim. Sci.* 77:816-823.
- Gwartney, B.L., C.R. Calkins, R.J. Rasby, R.A. Stock, B.A. Vieselmeyer and J.A. Gosey. 1996. Use of expected progeny differences for marbling in beef: II. Carcass and palatability traits. *Journal of Animal Science.* 74:1014-1022.
- Huston, J.E., B.S. Engdahl and M.C. Calhoun. 1990. Effects of supplemental feed with or without ionophores on lambs and angora kid goats on rangeland. *J. Anim. Sci.* 68:3980-3986.
- Mbanzamihiogo, L., C.J. Van Nevel, D.I. Demeyer. 1995. Adaptation of rumen fermentation to monensin. *Reprod. Nutr. Dev.* 35:353-365.
- McClure, K. E., R. W. Van Keuren, and P. G. Althouse. 1994. Performance and carcass characteristics of weaned lambs either grazed on orchardgrass, ryegrass, or alfalfa or fed all-concentrate diets in drylot. *J. Anim. Sci.* 72:3230-3237.
- Murphy, T. A., S. C. Loerch, K. E. McClure, and M. B. Solomon. 1994. Effects of grain or pasture finishing systems on carcass composition and tissue accretion rates of lambs. *J. Anim. Sci.* 72:3138-3144.
- Notter, D.R., R. F. Kelly and B.W. Berry. 1991. Effects of ewe breed and management system on efficiency of lamb production: III. Meat characteristics. *J. Anim. Sci.* 69:3523-3532.
- Ockerman, H. W. 1985. Quality Control of Post-mortem Muscle Tissue, Volume 1: Meat and Additive Analysis. The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio. pp. 21.0-21.4.
- Safari, E., N.M. Fogarty, G.R. Ferrier, L.D. Hopkins and A. Gilmour. 2001. Diverse lamb genotypes 3. Eating quality and the relationship between its objective measurement and sensory assessment. *Meat Sci.* 57:153-159.
- SAS. 1999. SAS User's Guide: Statistics. SAS institute Inc. Cary, NC.
- Smith, G.C., J.W. Savell, H.R. Cross, Z.L. Carpenter, C.E. Murphey, G.W. Davis, H.C. Abraham, F.C. Parish, Jr. and B.W. Berry. 1987. Relationship of USDA quality grades to palatability of cooked beef. *J. Food Qual.* 10:269-286.
- Smith, S.B. and J.D. Crouse. 1984. Relative contributions of acetate, lactate and glucose to lipogenesis in bovine intramuscular and subcutaneous adipose tissue. *J. Nutr.* 114:792-800.
- Smith, Stephen B., Ronald L. Prior, Calvin L. Ferrell, and Harry J. Mersmann. 1984. Interrelationships among diet, age fat deposition and lipid metabolism in growing steers. *J. Nutr.* 114:153-162.
- Surber, L.M. and J.G. Bowman. 1998. Monensin effects on digestion of corn and barley in high-concentrate diets. *J. Anim. Sci.* 76:1945-1954.
- Tatum, J. D., B. J. Klein, F. L. Williams, Jr. and R. A. Bowling. 1988. Influence of diet on growth rate and carcass composition of steers differing in frame size and muscle thickness. *J. Anim. Sci.* 66:1942-1954.
- Van Nevel, C.J. and D.I. Demeyer. 1977. Effect of monensin on rumen metabolism in vitro. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 34:251-257.
- Wheeler, T.L., S.D. Shakelford and M. Koohmaraie. 1999. Tenderness classification of beef: IV. Effect of USDA quality grade on the palatability of "tender" beef longissimus when cooked well done. *J. Anim. Sci.* 77:882-888.
- Wheeler, T.L., L.V. Cundiff, R.M. Koch. 1994. Effect of marbling degree on beef palatability in *Bos taurus* and *Bos indicus* cattle. *J. Anim. Sci.* 72:3145-3151.
- Wood J.D., M. Enser, A.V. Fisher, G.R. Nute, R.I. Richardson, P.R. Sheard. 1999. Manipulating meat quality and composition. *Proc. Nutr. Soc.* 58:363-370.

**Table 1. Diet composition.**

| Item                            | High-concentrate no monensin | High-concentrate with monensin | High-forage no monensin | High-forage with monensin | Mixed diet no monensin | Mixed diet with monensin |
|---------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| Ingredient, % DM basis          |                              |                                |                         |                           |                        |                          |
| Whole shelled corn              | 70.00                        | 70.00                          | ---                     | ---                       | 42.50                  | 42.50                    |
| Alfalfa silage                  | ---                          | ---                            | 70.00                   | 70.00                     | 42.50                  | 42.50                    |
| Ground corn                     | 6.74                         | 6.721                          | 20.29                   | 20.271                    | 1.59                   | 1.571                    |
| Soybean hulls                   | 1.85                         | 1.85                           | ---                     | ---                       | ---                    | ---                      |
| Soybean meal                    | 18.75                        | 18.75                          | 7.60                    | 7.60                      | 11.75                  | 11.75                    |
| Urea                            | .30                          | .30                            | .30                     | .30                       | .35                    | .35                      |
| Limestone                       | 1.35                         | 1.35                           | ---                     | ---                       | ---                    | ---                      |
| Monosodium phosphate            | ---                          | ---                            | .60                     | .60                       | .30                    | .30                      |
| Trace mineral salt <sup>a</sup> | .45                          | .45                            | .45                     | .45                       | .45                    | .45                      |
| Vitamin A, 30,000 IU/g          | .01                          | .01                            | .01                     | .01                       | .01                    | .01                      |
| Vitamin D, 3,000 IU/g           | .01                          | .01                            | .01                     | .01                       | .01                    | .01                      |
| Vitamin E, 44 IU/g              | .05                          | .05                            | .05                     | .05                       | .05                    | .05                      |
| Selenium, 201 ppm               | .09                          | .09                            | .09                     | .09                       | .09                    | .09                      |
| Dynamate <sup>b</sup>           | ---                          | ---                            | .20                     | .20                       | ---                    | ---                      |
| Ammonium chloride               | .40                          | .40                            | .40                     | .40                       | .40                    | .40                      |
| Rumensin 80 <sup>c</sup>        | ---                          | .019                           | ---                     | .019                      | ---                    | .019                     |
| <b>Calculated composition</b>   |                              |                                |                         |                           |                        |                          |
| Crude protein, %                | 18.18                        | 18.18                          | 18.02                   | 18.02                     | 18.18                  | 18.18                    |
| Calcium, %                      | .55                          | .55                            | 1.09                    | 1.09                      | .70                    | .70                      |
| Phosphorus, %                   | .40                          | .40                            | .43                     | .43                       | .41                    | .41                      |
| NE <sub>m</sub> Mcal/kg         | 2.08                         | 2.08                           | 1.47                    | 1.47                      | 1.73                   | 1.73                     |
| NE <sub>g</sub> Mcal/kg         | 1.43                         | 1.43                           | .89                     | .89                       | 1.12                   | 1.12                     |

<sup>a</sup> Contained > 95%NaCl, .35% Zn, .28% Mn, .175% Fe, .035% Cu, .007% I, and .007% Co.

<sup>b</sup> Magnesium sulfate and potassium sulfate, contained ≥ 22% S, 18% k, 11%Mg (International Minerals and Chemical, Terre Haute, IN).

<sup>c</sup> Contained 176 mg monensin per kg (Elanco Animal Health, Greenfield, IN).

**Table 2. Mean values for growth performance traits by energy source and ionophore supplementation.**

| Performance trait    | Energy source <sup>a</sup> |                   |                   |      | Ionophore supplementation <sup>b</sup> |          |      |
|----------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------|--|----------|------|
|                      | HC                         | MIX               | HF                | SEM  | Control                                | Monensin | SEM  |
| No. of lambs         | 32                         | 32                | 32                |      | 48                                     | 48       |      |
| Initial weight, kg   | 34.25                      | 34.35             | 34.29             | .08  | 34.36                                  | 34.23    | .07  |
| Final weight, kg     | 54.38                      | 56.39             | 56.09             | 1.11 | 56.39                                  | 54.83    | .90  |
| DMI, kg/d            | 1.29 <sup>d</sup>          | 1.59 <sup>c</sup> | 1.46 <sup>c</sup> | .07  | 1.47                                   | 1.42     | .05  |
| ADG, g/d,            | 301 <sup>c</sup>           | 287 <sup>c</sup>  | 204 <sup>d</sup>  | 14   | 270                                    | 258      | 11   |
| FE, gain/feed, kg/kg | .231 <sup>c</sup>          | .181 <sup>d</sup> | .139 <sup>e</sup> | .009 | .184                                   | .183     | .007 |
| Days on feed         | 68 <sup>d</sup>            | 77 <sup>d</sup>   | 106 <sup>c</sup>  | 4    | 85                                     | 82       | 3    |

<sup>a</sup>high-concentrate (HC), concentrate and forage (MIX), high-forage (HF).

<sup>b</sup>Control (0 mg monensin per kg feed), Monensin (176 mg monensin per kg feed).

<sup>c,d,e</sup>Means in the same row, within an effect, bearing different superscript letters differ (P < .05).

**Table 3. Mean values for carcass traits by main effects.**

| Carcass trait                        | Energy source <sup>a</sup> |                   |                   |     | Ionophore supplementation <sup>b</sup> |                   |     |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----|--|-------------------|-----|
|                                      | HC                         | MIX               | HF                | SEM | Control                                | Monensin          | SEM |
| No. of lambs                         | 16                         | 16                | 16                |     | 24                                     | 24                |     |
| Dressing percent                     | 57.5                       | 58.1              | 57.5              | .7  | 59.2 <sup>f</sup>                      | 56.1 <sup>g</sup> | .6  |
| Hot carcass weight, kg               | 31.25                      | 32.16             | 30.82             | .82 | 32.22                                  | 30.61             | .67 |
| Liver weight, g                      | 1015 <sup>f</sup>          | 931 <sup>f</sup>  | 826 <sup>g</sup>  | 31  | 912                                    | 935               | 25  |
| Heart weight, g                      | 211                        | 200               | 204               | 6   | 204                                    | 206               | 4   |
| Fat depth, mm                        | 5.56                       | 5.52              | 5.12              | .45 | 6.01 <sup>f</sup>                      | 4.79 <sup>g</sup> | .37 |
| Body wall thickness, cm              | 2.26 <sup>f</sup>          | 2.28 <sup>f</sup> | 1.87 <sup>g</sup> | .10 | 2.17                                   | 2.10              | .08 |
| Kidney and pelvic fat, g             | 941 <sup>f</sup>           | 913 <sup>f</sup>  | 602 <sup>g</sup>  | 78  | 764                                    | 872               | 68  |
| Rib eye area, cm <sup>2</sup>        | 6.56 <sup>f</sup>          | 6.23 <sup>f</sup> | 5.69 <sup>g</sup> | .15 | 6.07                                   | 6.24              | .12 |
| USDA conformation <sup>c,d</sup>     | 395                        | 396               | 381               | 8   | 390                                    | 392               | 6   |
| USDA leg conformation <sup>c,d</sup> | 386                        | 396               | 378               | 7   | 389                                    | 385               | 6   |
| USDA flank firmness <sup>c,d</sup>   | 9.4                        | 9.8               | 9.4               | .5  | 9.1                                    | 10.0              | .4  |
| USDA flank streaking <sup>c,e</sup>  | 263 <sup>g</sup>           | 314 <sup>fg</sup> | 342 <sup>f</sup>  | 21  | 290                                    | 323               | 17  |
| USDA Quality grade <sup>c,e</sup>    | 325                        | 338               | 319               | 11  | 325                                    | 329               | 9   |
| USDA flank color <sup>c,e</sup>      | 155                        | 145               | 211               | 34  | 195                                    | 146               | 28  |
| Marbling <sup>d</sup>                | 423                        | 417               | 357               | 27  | 403                                    | 395               | 22  |
| Lean color                           | 148                        | 150               | 155               | 3   | 151                                    | 150               | 2   |
| Lipid %                              | 3.69                       | 4.41              | 3.72              | .31 | 4.01                                   | 3.87              | .25 |

<sup>a</sup>high-concentrate (HC), concentrate and forage (MIX), high-forage (HF).

<sup>b</sup>Control (0 mg monensin per kg feed), Monensin (176 mg monensin per kg feed).

<sup>c</sup>factors were called by a USDA grader.

<sup>d</sup>10 = Ch<sup>-</sup>, 11 = Ch<sup>o</sup>, 12 = Ch<sup>+</sup>, 13 = Pr<sup>-</sup>, 14 = Pr<sup>o</sup>, 15 = Pr<sup>+</sup>.

<sup>e</sup>400 = Modest<sup>00</sup>, 300 = Small<sup>00</sup>, 200 = Slight<sup>00</sup>, 100 = Traces<sup>00</sup>.

<sup>f,g</sup>Means in the same row, within an effect, bearing different superscript letters differ (P < .05).

**Table 4. Mean values for Warner-Bratzler shear force of the *longissimus dorsi* and *semimembranosus* by main effects.**

|                          | Energy source <sup>a</sup> |                   |                   | SEM | Ionophore supplementation <sup>b</sup> |          | SEM |
|--------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----|--|----------|-----|
|                          | HC                         | MIX               | HF                |     | Control                                | Monensin |     |
| No. of lambs             | 16                         | 16                | 16                |     | 24                                     | 24       |     |
| <i>Longissimus dorsi</i> | 4.87 <sup>c</sup>          | 3.39 <sup>d</sup> | 3.70 <sup>d</sup> | .37 | 4.09                                   | 3.89     | .30 |
| <i>Semimembranosus</i>   | 5.46                       | 4.71              | 4.97              | .26 | 5.22                                   | 4.87     | .22 |

<sup>a</sup>high-concentrate (HC), concentrate and forage (MIX), high-forage (HF).

<sup>b</sup>Control (0 mg monensin per kg feed), Monensin (176 mg monensin per kg feed).

<sup>c,d</sup>Means in the same row, within an effect, bearing different superscript letters differ (P < .05).

**Table 5. Mean values for sensory traits of the *longissimus dorsi* by main effects.**

|                         | Energy source <sup>a</sup> |                  |                   | SEM | Ionophore supplementation <sup>b</sup> |          | SEM |
|-------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|-------------------|-----|--|----------|-----|
|                         | HC                         | MIX              | HF                |     | Control                                | Monensin |     |
| No. of lambs            | 16                         | 16               | 16                |     | 24                                     | 24       |     |
| Juiciness <sup>c</sup>  | 4.9                        | 5.2              | 4.6               | .2  | 4.9                                    | 4.8      | .2  |
| Tenderness <sup>d</sup> | 4.2 <sup>f</sup>           | 5.3 <sup>e</sup> | 4.6 <sup>ef</sup> | .3  | 4.5                                    | 4.9      | .2  |

<sup>a</sup>high-concentrate (HC), concentrate and forage (MIX), high-forage (HF).

<sup>b</sup>Control (0 mg monensin per kg feed), Monensin (176 mg monensin per kg feed).

<sup>c</sup>juiciness = 1- extremely dry, 8 - extremely juicy.

<sup>d</sup>tenderness = 1- extremely tough, 8 - extremely tender.

<sup>e,f</sup>Means in the same row, within an effect, bearing different superscript letters differ (P < .05).